Supporting Human-in-the-Loop Evaluation of Biased Image Embeddings in Vector Databases through Configurable Visualizations

AUTHOR: Ankita Suresh Shanbhag University of California, Berkeley



Example - a marketing firm stores LLM-generated human face images for various occupations in a vector db. The data engineer wants to identify and address the 'biggest' biases - eg. images of nurses are disproportionately female-presenting.

Reduce bias in data Ensure fairness in predictions Conduct robustness checks Monitor model drift

Make the model more harmless

Model Update

Make the model more helpful

Tailor features to use case Optimize for real-world performance Gather data from user interactions Update models with fresh data

CHALLENGES IN CURRENT APPROACHES

Complexity of Bias



Current algorithms often fail to grasp the nuanced cultural, societal, and historical contexts of biases

Limitations in Automation



Difficulty in automating bias visualization due to the subtle nature of most biases

Vector databases are only recently gaining traction, but at a rapid pace. Precedents exist for traditional databases - eg. SeeDB.



Inadequacy of **Existing Tools**



Lack of comprehensive tools for effective visualization and interpretation of biases in image embeddings

OUR APPROACH

Selection Criteria categorical attributes

Query + Filter



Human in the Loop



View and interact with visualizations

Most 'interesting' views = Views that surface bias based on benchmarks



Image benchmarks -CelebA, FAIR_benchmark, FairFace

(passed through the same model to generate embeddings)

BIAS AS THE HEURISTIC

Example image in the vector db

Values - vector embedding of n dimensions Metadata - image attribute (eg. profession)

Example benchmark

Values - vector embedding of n dimensions Metadata - attribute (eg. gender), class (eg. female)

Gender = Male (benchmark)



EXAMPLE FROM WANDB (USING PCA)

runs.summary["embeddings_table"]



EVALUATION

Baseline

Vector database with Weights & Measures connection set up and benchmark embeddings provided (in the same or different db)

Baseline + visualizations sorted by bias + user control over selection of attribute filters

Questions asked of the human tester

- Which of the two did you find more helpful?
- Which of the two did you find more intuitive?
- Which of the two helped you derive insights from this data (if at all) faster?

After

ful? tive? ghts from this data (if at all) faster?

EXTENSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

- Performance Optimization: Improving the system's performance using an execution engine
- Enhanced Visualization Tools: Refining visualization tools for a more intuitive and immersive user experience
- **Comparative Bias Analysis**: Introducing a framework for comparative studies across multiple bias dimensions using a query builder
- Tuning Parameters: Varying some of the parameters, such as the distance function, and evaluating their impact
- Expanding the Problem Space: Analyzing vector databases using other 'interestingness' functions (such as fairness and toxicity) and benchmarks
- Evaluating Robustness against Benchmark Embedding Models: Validating the effect (if any) of using a different model to generate benchmark embeddings

